GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2007

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:30 a.m., Geoffrey H. Griffis, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

GEOFFREY H. GRIFFIS RUTHANNE G. MILLER

CURTIS ETHERLY, JR.
JOHN A. MANN, II

Chairperson

Board Member

Vice-Chairperson Board Member

(NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

ANTHONY HOOD Vice-Chairperson

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY Secretary BEVERLY BAILEY Sr. Zoning

Specialist

ESTHER BUSHMAN General Counsel

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:

LORI MONROE, ESQ.

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS

This transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on January 9, 2007.

AGENDA ITEM PA	<u>AGE</u>
<pre>WELCOME: Geoffrey Griffis</pre>	4
NATIONAL CHILD RESEARCH CENTER APPLICATION NO. 17544 - ANC-3C:	10
Whayne Quin	19 29 38
OFFICE OF PLANNING: Maxine Brown-Roberts	65
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH - EXHIBIT 20:	77
DDOT REPORT:	78
ANC-3C REPORT - EXHIBIT 27:	80
<pre>PERSONS IN SUPPORT: Jane Vanneman</pre>	82
<pre>CLOSING REMARKS: Whayne Quin</pre>	105
MOTION TO APPROVE APPLICATION 17544: .	125
FOUR CONDITIONS:	133
VOTE TO APPROVE APPLICATION 17544:	145
ADJOURN: Geoffrey Griffis	146

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 | 11:36 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, let me call to order our Public Hearing of the Board Zoning Adjustment of the District Columbia. This is the 9th of January 2007. am Geoff Griffis, the Chairperson. Joining me today is the Vice Chair, Ms. Miller, and Mr. Representing the National Capital Etherly. Planning Commission is Mr. Mann and representing the Zoning Commission with us will be Mr. Hood. He is on his way.

However, we are going to begin and I'm going to actually rip through these openings and take any questions if you want me to reiterate any of this.

However, it is important to note that all procedures before the Board of Zoning Adjustment are recorded. They are recorded in two fashions. The first and most important is the Court Reporter sitting on the floor to my

right creating the official transcript.

2.

Attendant to that, I would ask several things. First, please, fill out two witness cards prior to coming forward if you are going to address the Board. Those witness cards go to the Court Reporter. Also, I would ask that you turn off cell phones and beepers, at this time, so we don't have a disruption of the transmission of that.

Third, I would ask that you just state your name and address for the record when you begin addressing the Board. You only need to do this once, obviously, that way we can attach your name to that important testimony that you will provide on the transcript as it is then issued.

The order of procedure for special exceptions and variances is as follows: We will hear from the applicant and their case presentation. We will then go to any Government reports addressing the application, the Office of Planning, etcetera. Third, we

will hear a report from the Advisory Neighborhood Commission.

Fourth, we would hear persons or parties in support of an application. Fifth, we would hear persons or parties in opposition to the application. Sixth, finally, we will return back to the applicant for any rebuttal testimony and/or conclusions.

All the way through that, we will hear cross examination. Of course, cross examination of witnesses is permitted by the parties in a case. The ANC within which the property is located is automatically a party in the case. We will, as a preliminary matter, establish parties if there has been timely filing of a request for party status and if not, the application and the ANC will continue as the parties and avail themselves to cross examination.

The record is closed at the conclusion of the hearing on this case, except for any information that the Board would state

2.

is additionally required. We will make sure that there is great clarity if additional information is required and everyone should leave today knowing whether the record is open or what should be submitted into the record and when that should come in.

The Sunshine Act does require us to conduct our hearings in the open and before the public. We do, at times, enter into Executive Sessions, both during or after hearings on a case. This Board does utilize those Executive Sessions for reviewing factual matters in each of the cases and at some point we may well deliberate on cases in Executive Session. This is in accordance with our rules, regulations and procedures. It is also in accordance with the Sunshine Act.

Decisions of this Board must be based exclusively on the record that is about to be created before us and so attendant to that, all of the before said things pertain, but I would also ask that anyone here present

2.

today not engage Board Members in conversation 1 if you see us on breaks or during a lunch 2. 3 recess, so that we don't give the impression of receiving information outside of that of 4 the official record. 5 That being said, let me say again 6 a very good morning to Ms. Bailey on my very 7 8 far left, Ms. Bushman with the Office of 9 Zoning. Ms. Bailey is also with the Office of Zoning. Ms. Monroe representing the Office of 10 11 the Attorney General is with us and Mr. Moy, 12 also with the Office of Zoning. 13 I would say a very good morning to Ms. Bailey, and ask if you have any 14 15 preliminary matters for the Board's attention at this time? 16 17 MS. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, Members 18 of the Board, good morning and happy new year. 19 Sir, staff does not have any preliminary 20 matters, other than swearing in the witnesses, at this time. 21

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS:

22

Excellent.

1	Why don't we do that? Anyone that would or is
2	anticipating providing testimony for the
3	Board, if you would, please, stand and give
4	your attention to Ms. Bailey, she is going to
5	swear you in.
6	MS. BAILEY: Would you, please,
7	raise your right hand?
8	(Whereupon, the witnesses were
9	sworn.)
10	MS. BAILEY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
12	That being done, we can ask if anyone here
13	present has any preliminary matters for the
14	Board's attention. Preliminary matters are
15	those which relate to whether a case will or
16	should be heard today. Clearly, we only have
17	one case on the day, so if you are here for
18	the other case, you're in the wrong place.
19	However, preliminary matters are
20	those which, as I say, would stop us from
21	proceeding or would need to be addressed
22	immediately before calling the case, whether

proper and adequate notice has been provided, 1 2. whether you are prepared or not to 3 I would ask you if there are any preliminary matters for the Board's attention? 4 5 You can also introduce yourself for the Board. 6 MR. OUIN: Thank you, Mr. For the record, my name is Whayne 7 Chairman. 8 Quin with Carolyn Brown of Holland and Knight 9 representing the applicant. We are here to proceed with 10 our case and we have no 11 preliminary matters. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. Are there any other preliminary matters? 13 this? 14 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I just want to 16 raise a very minor point, but the application says that you are seeking special exception 17 18 for addition existing child an to an 19 development center with an enrollment figure 20 of 185 children and 44 staff. But it appears that you are also seeking a special exception 21

to continue an established child development

1 || center.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Is that correct? I mean, that is the way the Office of Planning has addressed it as well as NCRC has addressed and my understanding is that the term is going to be expiring under which the school has been operating.

MR. QUIN: Let me see how to answer that question.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.

MR. QUIN: This really is application on its own. Obviously, the school is there and to that extent it continues and it will continue, we hope, with the grant of the Board of this order that would allow the enrollment maximums that we have specified and with the construction of the addition. We filed, have also note, in as you the alternative, and I don't think we need that relief, a variance from parking, which I'll describe later.

But it's -- so the answer is the

school is continuing, clearly, but this case, 1 I think, is best viewed on its own merits, if 2. 3 that's where you're going with your question. 4 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Separating the Just with respect to the special 5 variance. exception, isn't there a term that is expiring 6 7 and that without a renewal, you wouldn't be 8 able, the school would not be able to operate? 9 MR. OUIN: I, frankly, have not approached it that way. I have approached it 10 11 that this is an application not to continue 12 anything. Really, this is a special exception 13 to establish a new maximum for the school. I'm not sure what point, what the difference 14 15 is in terms of the process. 16 MS. MONROE: Can I weigh in just a 17 I guess the reason this came up was second? 18 because we were looking at it as if it were --19 you had a certain level of enrollment now and 20 looking for an increment. The Board would be

any

adverse

looking

only

at

attributable to that increment.

21

22

impacts

1	But if you were coming in to renew
2	the special exception, underlying special
3	exception for the use, we would have to look
4	at the adverse impacts as to the whole use.
5	MR. QUIN: All right. I
6	understand where you are coming from.
7	MS. MONROE: And because
8	MR. QUIN: Yes.
9	MS. MONROE: the term will be
10	up on March 13 th .
11	MR. QUIN: Yes.
12	MS. MONROE: Which is,
12 13	MS. MONROE: Which is, approximately, two months. We assumed you
13	approximately, two months. We assumed you
13 14	approximately, two months. We assumed you were looking, obviously, to renew the entire
13 14 15	approximately, two months. We assumed you were looking, obviously, to renew the entire special exception. We look at the whole use.
13 14 15 16	approximately, two months. We assumed you were looking, obviously, to renew the entire special exception. We look at the whole use. MR. QUIN: Yes.
13 14 15 16 17	approximately, two months. We assumed you were looking, obviously, to renew the entire special exception. We look at the whole use. MR. QUIN: Yes. MS. MONROE: If that's what you
13 14 15 16 17 18	approximately, two months. We assumed you were looking, obviously, to renew the entire special exception. We look at the whole use. MR. QUIN: Yes. MS. MONROE: If that's what you are asking.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approximately, two months. We assumed you were looking, obviously, to renew the entire special exception. We look at the whole use. MR. QUIN: Yes. MS. MONROE: If that's what you are asking. MR. QUIN: I'm asking we will

1	MS. MONROE: What am I correct in?
2	Tell me.
3	MR. QUIN: In that there is an
4	expiration of the order.
5	MS. MONROE: Right.
6	MR. QUIN: And we will proceed,
7	but I haven't really addressed the expiration,
8	because we hope you are going to approve this
9	case and move forward. So to me it becomes
10	academically moot.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. So
12	what you are saying is that the outcome of
13	this application will supersede the standing
14	order, which the standing order shows an
15	expiration date of March 13, 2007.
16	MR. QUIN: Well, I think that
17	there are lots of questions that can be raised
18	about what the status of the order is, because
19	the Court stays and whether that is even a
20	final order at this point, if you are
21	referring to the last order.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: No, because

1	that's not
2	MR. QUIN: If you're referring to
3	the other order
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
5	That's not the underlying order was 16307.
6	MR. QUIN: Right. And that one
7	does expire March the 13 th , I think.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And this
9	process and special exception supersedes that?
10	MR. QUIN: Yes. It will allow the
11	continuation of the school. Maybe that's
12	I was not sure where you were headed in terms
13	of the burden of proof and our burden of proof
14	is to show, as I think we have by our
15	pleadings, that we will not have an adverse
16	impact in all the tests that are set forth for
17	a child development center.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
19	MS. MONROE: Mr. Chairman, one
20	other thing. Just so you know, we're also
21	concerned about possible notice, because it
22	was advertised as an addition to an existing

special exception. We just want to make sure that it was the entire special exception that was in question.

And the last thing I just want to mention is if the term is ending in two months and this is kind of just a question to bring up, is a new term a possibility? I don't know. But if the entire special exception is being discussed here and not just the limited enrollment increase, then a new term might be something that would be possible.

MR. QUIN: Yes, we are prepared to address that. I can state at the outset it's our opinion that you should not impose a term on our proposal because of the investment that is required to do the improvements, such as other schools. I mean, I can go through a whole list of them, like Sidwell Friends.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. I think the critical piece is the fact that you have anticipated addressing that, so that is part of this application, which is important

2.

1 for us to understand and we'll get to the 2. detail of that in the course of your 3 presentation. 4 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I just want to 5 make one comment with respect or in response to the Office of Attorney General's comments 6 7 about advertising. I don't see a problem here 8 with notice, because, as I stated, the Office 9 of Planning's report refers to continuation of the school, as does the ANC report and behind 10 11 all of this is a settlement agreement, which 12 addresses all of that. 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. VICE CHAIR MILLER: 14 So I have no concerns about that. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 16 I tend to 17 agree. People probably understand this better 18 than we do, at this point. Okay. Good 19 enough. Very well. Let's move ahead then and 20 I think it's clearly established that this is, 21 my words, but, a full special exception.

are

details

here

Obviously,

there

22

of

difference that are moving beyond that which 1 is an existing condition. 2. 3 We are well aware of the process 4 similarly, so we'll move ahead and 5 directly into it at this point. Last then, just a quick assessment 6 7 of time, so that we can set our schedule for 8 the day. We will take a lunch break, of 9 course. How much time do you think you need for the presentation of your case? 10 11 MR. QUIN: A lot will depend on 12 what the Board wants to hear, but we are prepared to present our case in 15 minutes or 13 14 less. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: 16 MR. QUIN: Or even submit on the 17 record after my opening statement. 18 you'll be so persuaded, you will just have no 19 alternative but to grant the case. But I do 20 think we need at least an opening statement and then we can determine how far we go after 21

that.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.

Why don't we get out of your way and you can move ahead. We'll get to that. Very well.

Let's begin.

MR. QUIN: Okay. Thank you. We are really pleased and excited to be here for the special exception, as you know, but we are especially pleased to come before you with the support of our neighbors and community.

After the last proceeding before this Board, which was somewhat acrimonious, that may be an understatement, I think it is fair to say that no one was happy. The school was unhappy because of the limitations on its enrollment. The neighbors were unhappy because the Board approved a new building that was a free-standing substantial building and the Board probably was unhappy because its decision was being appealed to the Courts from both sides. So it was a cross-appeal. really a no win situation.

But as our filings indicate, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

school sought a stay from the D.C. Court of Appeals of the Board's decision and started a major outreach program to the neighborhood. The stay was granted and that is set forth under Exhibit D in our statement. It's the second -- the first stay is the second stay that's the order of the Court.

And after over nine months of hard work, tremendous give and take and good will created on both sides, the neighbors and the school reached an extensive agreement which is set forth as Exhibit C.

With the agreement of the school and the neighbors, a joint motion was filed with the Court to grant a stay to allow the parties to implement this agreement through the BZA process and that second order of stay is also under Exhibit D. And this is that process:

So today, the school appears before you, entirely different from the last proceeding, with the support of the

2.

neighborhood, the support of the ANC, the support of the Office of Planning, no objection from DDOT, approval by the Historic Preservation Review Board and we know of no opposition. It's a great job, I think, by the neighbors and the school working together.

I would like just to summarize the key elements of this application. First, as indicated earlier, the approval of a student enrollment of a maximum of 185 and approval of a maximum 44 teachers and staff under an agreement that will be phased in as shown in Exhibit H.

Second, an approval of an addition to the existing historic structure, and this is not a free-standing building as before, but a carefully designed compatible rear addition that will be described as needed by the school's architect, Chuck Anthony, and HPRB has approved that and our report is in the file and in our statement.

In the alternative, and I have

2.

indicated earlier, I don't think it's necessary, we have requested a variance from any additional parking requirement. As you know, the Zoning Commission is presently considering an amendment to section 2100.5, which exempts a contributing building and an addition to it from Historic District -- from a building that contributes from the parking requirement.

As a law firm, we have participated in those proceedings and we believe that the Zoning Commission could not act in any event because of the process before April or May at the earliest. And we hope that you will approve this case as soon as possible, so that a variance will not be needed.

And we cite, just for the record, section 3202.16 of the regulations which indicates that "An applicant may process building plans in accordance with orders of the Board in effect at the time the order is

2.

promulgated." And that's one of the reasons that we would like to have an early decision. There are obviously many more reasons that we would like to have an early decision.

In any event, the additional need would only be two parking spaces and due to the topography and historic nature of the improvements, all set forth in our statement, it's simply not feasible to provide additional parking on the site.

There are two more points which I would like just to briefly go over. We know the Board does not get involved in the private agreements between parties, but we do request, as required by the agreement, that you condition your approval upon the enrollment caps which we have specified and also require Transportation Management Plan and the Transportation Management Plan as now exists is set forth in Exhibit H.

The second point is just for information just to make certain that on the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

record that we are all moving along together. We note that this child development center while being bound by the enrollment and staff maximums, as you have indicated that you want in this type of case, will have an a.m., a p.m. and a full day session with at any time no more than 120 students on the -- that's the maximum in the future at any one time. And the Certificate of Occupancy will request -- will reflect that. And I just wanted to put that on the record.

Finally, I just want to say that I have had a lot of experience in school cases and I have never seen a divided neighborhood come together as well as this and we hope you will approve as soon as you can. Our submission is very complete. It is showing how we meet all the tests.

I do not know how much testimony you would like to have or whether you would even just like to take the case and ask questions, but we could call two witnesses,

2.

which is maybe a middle road, that would take 1 a maximum of 5 to 10 minutes each and see if 2. 3 that's sufficient and then they could answer questions or we could submit on the record. 4 5 that one of And Τ know the neighbors is here. I don't think the ANC is 6 7 present, but they have a letter in the record. 8 So we are prepared to follow your lead. We 9 will either submit on the record or we can call our two witnesses to basically describe 10 11 the case. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 13 Thank you very much. Let me ask, is the ANC present? A show of hands? ANC is not present 14 15 here today. Can I just see how many people are here to provide testimony, persons 16 17 provide testimony in this case? Is anvone 18 else here that is going to, outside of you 19 guys --20 Oh, outside of us. MR. QUIN: CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: -- who will 21 22 be witnesses? Excellent. All right.

1	may have one or two additional testimony. Let
2	me ask you, Mr. Quin, the enrollment of 185
3	and staff of 44, are you familiar with the
4	last case proceeding?
5	MR. QUIN: Yes, I am.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Is that the
7	same numbers?
8	MR. QUIN: I'm not sure.
9	MS. IRVIN: No. I think they are
10	slightly different.
11	MR. QUIN: I'm sorry, could you
12	identify yourself?
13	MS. IRVIN: Hi, I'm Carrie
14	Chimerine Irvin, the Board Chair at NCRC.
15	They are slightly different. I think the
16	student number is the same. I think the staff
17	number is slightly different, maybe one higher
18	or two higher.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. So my
20	understanding in reading and listening to the
21	opening is that that's not that's an
22	uncontested element that enrollment number.

1 OUIN: That's correct. MR. In 2 fact, we don't know of any contested elements 3 here at all. 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Fascinating. 5 Okay. MR. OUIN: And I think that that's 6 -- well, it speaks well I think of what has 7 8 taken place. 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. The last piece is I think we do want to walk 10 11 through, unless there is other preliminary 12 questions. Let's do walk through a couple of 13 the witnesses and the statements. And I wouldn't mind being walked quickly through the 14 15 proposed addition to get a handle on it, because here is my understanding. 16 17 We have a job to do also, even 18 though if things come in uncontested and 19 everyone is in great agreement, that's well 20 said. We have denied applications just like However, the point is is that we need 21 that.

to look specifically and obviously get enough

basis for our deliberation and decision on the 1 2. specific elements required. 3 But other preliminary questions? 4 If there's nothing? 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, this may wait until your presentation, but I just have 6 this question based on the Chairman's question 7 8 regarding the enrollment, has it changed since 9 the last order. I think that means the order that's on appeal. I'm wondering whether the 10 11 number is acceptable now because some 12 circumstances have changed since then? 13 Yes, I think that will MR. OUIN: be explained by our witness. 14 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right. 16 MR. QUIN: Essentially, there, as 17 I see it, are two major elements and I'm 18 looking at it a little bit from the outside, 19 but Carrie will tell you what happened from 20 the inside. Now, I look at it and say well, what happened? You know, why is it different? 21

It's different because the Transportation

Management Plan is really working and the elements are quite detailed, as you can tell from the exhibit that we filed.

Secondly, in any matter before the Board where there are disagreements, both sides have to gain and both sides have to lose to some degree and I think that's what has happened here. There was a negotiated settlement after nine months and it has been very successful and I think there has never been the good will in this neighborhood that now exists today.

MS. IRVIN: Good morning. NCRC is delighted back here with to be application for a special exception. said, I'm Carrie Chimerine Irvin and I chair the board. The National Child Research Center is the oldest continually operating preschool in the District of Columbia. The school began its mission of providing high quality research-based early childhood education 79 years ago and we have been located at 3209

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Highland Place since 1930.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The school's unique and important mission distinguishes it as a model of early childhood education along with developmentally appropriate and research-based curriculum, the school offers talented and highly trained teachers, a low student to teacher ratio, diverse family population and staff, a tuition assistance program involvement opportunities for parent in education.

In addition, NCRC admits children with a variety of special needs, including physical, emotional and developmental and integrates these children into mainstream classrooms. Through this well-regarded program, NCRC has an early childhood development specialist, a speech therapist and an occupational therapist on staff.

This early intervention program provides services and support to all NCRC children and their families. Overall,

including all of our programs, our student population includes currently 171 children between the ages of 2.5 and 5 when they start school. A small handful of them turn 6 before they graduate, if you will, and move on to elementary school.

As the school's 80th birthday approaches, we need to update and expand our facility. The beautiful 100 year-old building that NCRC is fortunate to call home needs updating to remain safe and adequate for the children and staff and for our excellent early childhood education program.

including in We are our application today, as you have seen, a plan to renovate and expand our main building. renovation will allow us to accomplish several First, we will important goals. add an elevator, which will render the entire building accessible to all for the first time.

Second, the plans include an indoor play space. Research on young kids

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

indicates that they really can't learn or even without function very well significant opportunities for movement every day. new space will our children to move and play even on inclement weather days and also in the security or other threats event of prevent them from going outside. It will also provide a safe place for the teachers to take the children in case of emergency and this indoor play space will allow the school to better serve our students with special needs.

Third, the plans incorporate space for parents to meet with teachers and administrators regarding their children and for teachers to plan and work together on the engaging and well thought out curriculum they provide for the children.

And finally, the renovation will allow us to shift classroom space, so that the children no longer have to climb all the way to the third floor to reach their classroom.

This is important for safety as well as

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

developmental reasons.

2.

In order to go ahead with the construction as soon as possible, we are undergoing extensive fundraising within our community and we will secure a long-term financing from a local bank.

In the years, as Whayne said, since we were last before the BZA, NCRC has worked very hard to be a good neighbor and to improve the community environment in our corner, our beautiful Cleveland Park. We are very pleased that we now have a 20 year binding agreement with our neighbors that has enabled all of us to move beyond the conflict of the past several years.

This agreement, which covers the renovation of NCRC's facilities as well as enrollment, traffic management and some other issues, is a compromise that meets the school's needs as well as the needs of the neighborhood. We also now have a Standing Committee made up of representatives from the

neighborhood and the school that meets regularly.

NCRC is extremely happy to have this open forum for communication and collaboration and we are very, very grateful for the sincere efforts and the hard work on the part of our neighbors to reach this agreement with us and to keep its spirit working very well.

Under the terms of the 20 year agreement, the neighbors support NCRC in undertaking the renovation project I just described. The school and the neighbors through this agreement also agree that NCRC may increase student enrollment gradually up until -- from our current enrollment of 171 up and to a maximum allowable of 181 students by the year 2013, with a cushion of four students built in to allow for the uncertainties in the admissions process.

Under the terms of the agreement, NCRC can also increase the size of our staff

gradually from our current level of a total of 40 employees to a maximum of 44.

just want to reiterate what Whayne said that in order provide to developmentally appropriate programs for our children who range in age and also to keep our student/teacher ratio low, we divide our school day into a morning program, which is three hours, and afternoon program, which is three hours, and then our full day program, which runs from 8:30 until 3:00.

consequently, all children who are enrolled at NCRC in any one year are never at school attending class at the same time. Our Certificate of Occupancy permits us to have 120 students in the building at any one time. Our agreement with our neighbors stipulates that NCRC will not seek a Certificate of Occupancy that exceeds 120 and indeed we would have no need to do so given the nature of our programs.

Finally, the agreement stipulates

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that NCRC will continue to maintain our Traffic Management Program, which includes provisions that Whayne referenced that are designed to minimize the impact of NCRC cars on the neighborhood and includes stringent penalties for parents who violate these provisions.

school is The committed to remaining vigilant about the safety courtesy of NCRC drivers. We are glad to be working together with our neighbors to keep the surrounding streets safe. And if you would like, I would be happy later on to go detail into any you want about provisions.

I would just like to close by saying that NCRC is deeply grateful for the 76 years we have enjoyed in our Highland Place home. We look forward to many more years as a member of this community. Thank you for your attention. I'm glad to answer any questions you have.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
Thank you very much. 76 or 79?
MS. IRVIN: Well, we didn't start
on this site.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Oh, I see.
MS. IRVIN: We started on Columbia
Row.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
Good. Thank you. Any questions?
VICE CHAIR MILLER: Again, I don't
know if this is premature, but I was trying to
skim the conditions as you were talking about
your agreement which lapses in 20 years and I
know that you don't want a term, I think
that's your position, for the school. And I'm
wondering, the conditions with respect to
enrollment and staff, etcetera, they don't
lapse either or do they?
I mean, would this be a total
freeze on the enrollment for always, unless
you come before the Board again? Okay.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Let's

proceed.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. QUIN: Our next witness is Chuck Anthony, the architect for the project.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Great. Yes,

I think you could probably be very succinct

and run right through just for our base

understanding of what is being proposed.

MR. ANTHONY: Okay. What I thought I would do is just give you a very quick overview and then step up with the portable mike and go through the drawings.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Perfect.

MR. ANTHONY: For 76 years NCRC has been a part of the vibrant history of This project represents the Cleveland Park. first major renovation and addition to their facility in all of that time. It's a plan conceived with great deference to the original house, to the neighbors and to the neighborhood. This project will help sustain for future children and their parents a truly remarkable program in its rightful home in beautiful Cleveland park.

2.

First, we wanted to maintain the park-like setting of the property to maximize the open space and playground. This openness and green space is important both to the neighborhood and because the playground is an essential part of the school program.

Even with the added footprint, our site is still significantly less dense than most properties along Highland Place and Ordway. Our percentage of lot occupancy is less than half of what is allowable and this plan proposed a net reduction of only about 4 percent of the open space on the site.

Second, we wanted to create a project that is residential both in scale and detail. Aside from the fact that it's the right thing to do in this neighborhood, we want the current scale and feel to remain. This is a school in a home. It's a preschool for little kids. It's what gives the school its unique character and charm.

From an architectural and historic preservation perspective, the two contributing structures on the property are The main house and the playhouse. preserved. Our goals for the new work, the addition, were restraint and understatement. Zooming out to our context within the neighborhood, we tried to minimize the visible bulk of the project, keep the scope small, keep the character residential.

Т think the most compelling drawings in our submission might be pages 9 10, before and the and after street elevations, which are sort of the like the "Where's Waldo" series trying to figure out what is new versus what was there before.

From Highland Place there will essentially be no change. The existing house will continue to read as a large house on a large lot. The lines of the addition do not project beyond the lines of the existing building/porch. The addition does not front

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Ordway, but is set back and is clearly the back of the Highland front as it should be.

Zooming in to the addition to the main house from a historic preservation perspective, we're proposing to reopen the front door, reestablish that door in the center of the front elevation from the front porch. Currently, it's around to the side. We're proposing to remove the fire escape on the west side.

We're holding the addition to the main house in line with the existing structure and footprint and also the addition is only as tall as the height of the existing porch at the first floor with the exception of the elevator over and lobby on the second and third floors. The elevator is also in one of the least visible spots away from the closest neighbor and disguised as a chimney cluster.

This project provides greater life safety and code compliance for moving children off the top floor, generally putting more kids

2.

closer to the ground and moving the adult administrative functions up. We're providing compliant egress stairs and eliminating, as I said, the exterior fire escape. This also provides handicap accessibility to all levels of the building for children and their families.

Finally, the spirit of in compromise, have worked within the we constraints of the settlement agreement to achieve a project that meets most of the needs of the school's program while also satisfying the concerns of our neighbors. With the support of our neighbors, the project has been approved by the Architecture Review Committee of the Cleveland Park Historical Society, the ANC and the HPRB.

I'm sure you will all agree we have come a long way. Now, what I would like to do is just walk over to the plans and walk you through them quickly. Is that on? Is it working? This is the context aerial

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

photograph. You can see the park-like setting that I mentioned. This is the main house and this is all the playground and that is the playhouse building.

These are the before and after site plans. These numbers up in the corner of the boards refer to the page number in your booklet, so if you want to look in more detail, you can see that. This yellow representing the addition to the main house and I can tell you that, essentially, that footprint was prescribed and became part of the settlement agreement.

If you will notice in that exhibit, there is a drawing with a dotted line that shows exactly how far out the addition could stem and I can tell you that basically the parameters were that it would go no farther than the width of the existing porch, so that from the front none of the addition extends past the existing footprint.

Now, what I'm going to do is I'm

2.

going to start on the first floor and I'm going to go down, then I'll come back up and go up through the building. These are before and after plans. This represents the proposed addition. The elevator is in this location and the new fire stair is tucked in that corner. The new front door here with an entry hall. Currently, the entrance is from the side, around the side of the porch. New classroom in this location and this location.

Now, moving down one level, as you know, the site slopes from front to back, so that at the back side of this addition, the lower level becomes the ground level. And this is a similar plan to the first floor with classroom in the back, elevator which is a skip stop that allows you to exit the building at grade, because it's about half a level down. Similarly, the stairs, the landings are jogged, so that that half level entry can be accommodated.

This is a new piece in our

2.

proposal. This is a level which is entirely underground, which is below the addition described on the previous plan. None of this part of the plan would be visible above grade. And this is the motor playroom that Carrie referred to earlier. Obviously, we have elevator access, two needs of egress out of that level.

Now, what I'm going to do is go up, back up to the second floor. We're using the existing stair, but we have enclosed it and, essentially, the only thing that comes out of the second floor level that is new is the stair and the elevator and that small piece of hallway. The rest of this is an existing second floor footprint.

And then going up to the third floor or attic plan, previously this was two classrooms or one classroom and it is being converted to administrative space, again with the elevator, new elevator, and fire stair accessing those spaces.

2.

That's a quick run through of the plans. These renderings are of each side starting on the front, the Highland Place elevation. As you may know from looking at the photograph, it's a very wooded site. Essentially, from the front, the addition is within its width, so it's really not visible from the front.

And this is the playhouse, which is actually on Ordway at the back of the site relative to Highland being the front. This is the side elevation or the east elevation. From this side, this represents the addition. The concept is that the addition is similar to a porch that has been in-filled and used for interior space.

This is the existing porch. Our new addition, essentially, aligns with the cornice line of the existing porch. And previously, if you looked at some of the older photographs, you'll see that there was a porch, indeed, on the back. So it has been

2.

extended with this proposal.

2.

This is the north elevation, again, with the wrap-around porch of the addition coming up to this level. In this area is the, with the two blind windows, egress stair and then this elevator overrun is disguised as a chimney.

And then finally on the west elevation, which faces the playground or the bulk of the playground, again, you can see this chimney structure, which represents the elevator and elevator overrun, stair, entry level at grade, which is a half level between the ground level and the first floor and again the wrap-around porch and cornice line aligning with the front porch.

There is another small element to our project, that is the reconstruction of the existing bike shed, which you can see in this elevation. And that is a quick run through.

BOARD MEMBER ETHERLY: Mr. Anthony, if I could perhaps before you hop

1	off, I'm just curious about it's a little
2	detail. On what is labeled as Drawing 22, and
3	I believe I'll also come back to Drawing 20,
4	I'm just curious, the small circular window
5	there, is that the stairwell behind that
6	window?
7	MR. ANTHONY: Here?
8	BOARD MEMBER ETHERLY: Yes, sir.
9	MR. ANTHONY: Yes, and that's
10	actually a blind window as well.
11	BOARD MEMBER ETHERLY: Okay.
12	Okay. And then also, you don't have Drawing
13	20 represented, but there is also a circular
14	window on 20 as well. The same thing, a blind
15	window?
16	MR. ANTHONY: No. On 20, that is
17	a real window.
18	BOARD MEMBER ETHERLY: Okay.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. ANTHONY: Okay.
21	BOARD MEMBER ETHERLY: That
22	answered my questions.

1	MR. ANTHONY: Okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Questions,
3	clarifications? Yes?
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Not being an
5	architect, I'm going to ask you a question
6	that I didn't understand what you meant. When
7	you said that there is an entry level between
8	the ground level and the first floor, I don't
9	understand that.
10	MR. ANTHONY: Okay.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: What do you
12	enter into then?
13	MR. ANTHONY: Actually, this
14	elevation would be good to explain. On the
15	front side of the building, that's the closest
16	to the first floor level. The site slopes
17	towards the back, so that on the back side of
18	the building it's almost like a walk-out
19	basement. The lower level is at grade. So if
20	you connect the dots between the front and the
21	back, this entry point or exit point is

halfway between those two floors. And so it's

1	almost like the stair, you are entering on an
2	intermediate landing.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
4	MR. ANTHONY: Sure.
5	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Mr. Chairman?
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, Mr.
7	Hood?
8	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Mr. Anthony,
9	I'm looking at your last plan. How many trees
10	are we exactly maybe having removed for the
11	addition?
12	MR. ANTHONY: As I understand it,
12 13	MR. ANTHONY: As I understand it, there are only four maple trees to the rear of
13	there are only four maple trees to the rear of
13 14	there are only four maple trees to the rear of the existing building that will need to be
13 14 15	there are only four maple trees to the rear of the existing building that will need to be removed for the construction of this addition.
13 14 15 16	there are only four maple trees to the rear of the existing building that will need to be removed for the construction of this addition. COMMISSIONER HOOD: And I believe
13 14 15 16 17	there are only four maple trees to the rear of the existing building that will need to be removed for the construction of this addition. COMMISSIONER HOOD: And I believe Mr. Quin brought up, well, that's another
13 14 15 16 17 18	there are only four maple trees to the rear of the existing building that will need to be removed for the construction of this addition. COMMISSIONER HOOD: And I believe Mr. Quin brought up, well, that's another permitting process, I'm sure.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there are only four maple trees to the rear of the existing building that will need to be removed for the construction of this addition. COMMISSIONER HOOD: And I believe Mr. Quin brought up, well, that's another permitting process, I'm sure. MR. ANTHONY: Yes.

1	MR. ANTHONY: Actually, we are
2	replacing those four with the five maples. If
3	you look on the last page of our booklet.
4	COMMISSIONER HOOD: No. 5, page.
5	MR. ANTHONY: The very last page.
6	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Is this it?
7	MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Okay.
9	MR. ANTHONY: You will see that
10	there is five red maples on the Ordway side to
11	the right of the playhouse.
12	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Okay.
13	MR. ANTHONY: Which is replacing
14	those trees. And then there are there's
15	some additional plantings to the side, the
16	magnolias and then some dogwoods to the front
17	that are replacing, essentially, dead dogwoods
18	on the front of the building.
19	COMMISSIONER HOOD: All right.
20	All right. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
22	Anything else? Any other questions?

1	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Mr. Chairman?
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER HOOD: I just have to
4	say I don't have any questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER HOOD: I wasn't here
7	when they first started out, but this is a
8	tremendous difference from when I was here
9	previously dealing with this case. I would
10	applaud all those who put in the Construction
11	Management Plan, the traffic mitigations and
12	as you said in your statement while it may be
13	a consensus all the way around, which they
14	have a process to go through, but this makes
15	it a lot easier than it was previously. And
16	I commend all those that had a part in it.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well
18	said. Thank you. Others? Anything else at
19	this time? Anything else?
20	MR. QUIN: Not on direct. We have
21	our witnesses for questions. We also have, if
22	you had a question for a representative of

our's, George, our traffic consultant, but I think the DOT report pretty well answers our questions on that.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right. And if there are any others, we can bring them up under that. Very well. Yes?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can you say why the enrollment number is the right number? Why it doesn't cause any adverse impacts? Why it is the right number for the school?

MR. QUIN: Well, I think with the Transportation Management Plan and the experience, first of all, we have a lot of eyes that watch this process. And this transportation plan is working today. And I'm sure you would hear from others if thought there were an adverse impact. would not be a settlement. So I think, as we say in the law, an ipso facto type result. Were it not for the changes though that were made and the strong Transportation Management Plan, I don't think we would be here today.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 VICE CHAIR MILLER: All right. 2. MR. QUIN: Carrie? 3 MS. IRVIN: I just wanted to add 4 from the programmatic point of view. 5 coming up with a number that the school wanted to maintain flexibility, it's really to allow, 6 7 to give the school the flexibility to adjust 8 better change programs to or meet 9 developmental needs of young kids. It may be that the school would 10 11 like to rearrange the way classrooms are, you 12 oriented, how children know, many 13 teachers, etcetera. So it was a number that 14 would allow for a few students to be able to 15 do that. The school doesn't have any plans to dramatically increase, so we didn't need a 16 17 larger number than that. 18 VICE CHAIR MILLER: And how long 19 has the Traffic Management Plan been in place? 20 MR. QUIN: In various stages, it has been in place for what three years and I 21

can call a witness to answer that question, if

1	you would like.
2	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
3	MR. QUIN: Susan? Identify
4	yourself.
5	MS. PIGGOTT: I will. Good
6	morning. I'm Susan Piggott, the Director of
7	NCRC. That's all you need? I can start
8	answering questions?
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, please.
10	MS. PIGGOTT: Okay. The Traffic
11	Management Plan that is before you has been
12	added to over about three years period of time
13	in order to address some of the concerns of
14	the neighbors. Do you want me to go into
15	those? What the changes have been?
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I don't
17	want a lot of detail. I wasn't on the case
18	the last time.
19	MS. PIGGOTT: Uh-huh.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I know
21	that there was a lot of concern about that the
22	last time. And so just for the record, you

1	know, we don't just go on oh, everyone has
2	agreed, so we sign off.
3	MS. PIGGOTT: Sure.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I mean, it's
5	kind of like there aren't any there has
6	been a change. There aren't any more adverse
7	impacts. I mean, things have been corrected.
8	There were problems before. I just wanted to
9	get a general sense of that.
10	MS. PIGGOTT: Yes. I think there
11	have been a number of things. We have written
12	into our enrollment contracts provisions that
13	our parents have to sign before their children
14	can come to school. This is that they agree
15	to abide by our Traffic Management Plan.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can I just
17	interrupt you, because I don't want to belabor
18	for anybody else.
19	MS. PIGGOTT: Sure.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: But I read,
21	you know, what's in the TMP and stuff. I'm
22	sure all the Board Members did. My question,

I guess, goes to, you know, were there like accidents before, but there aren't now or, you know, what -- it goes to impact as opposed to -- I know you have all these great procedures, but how you measure it is really working.

MS. PIGGOTT: Sure. The history of the school didn't really bring forward a history of accidents in the neighborhood. Ιt did bring forward some concerns about congestion in the neighborhood. And so the Traffic Management Plan addresses a number of things, such as, for this school only, not the neighbors, there is a one way traffic pattern on Highland Place, for example.

We have a traffic guard that we have now had in place for about a year and a half. I think when we were before you the last time, we had a traffic -- the traffic guard was there on a limited basis. The traffic guard is now a full-time member of the staff and is on site every day and is out in front of the school for all of the car pools

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 during the course of the day. 2. We have added some staggered 3 arrival and departure times. We have added 4 yellow stickers to the cars of the families, 5 so that they can be identified and also to the staff. We have a number of sanctions in place 6 7 for both staff and parents. 8 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Can I 9 interrupt you again? 10 MS. PIGGOTT: Sure. 11 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I mean, those 12 seem like great measures and they seem to be I guess my question is has there 13 working. been a noticeable effect? Is the traffic 14 15 flowing more smoothly as a result of those? 16 Is it less complaints bу the neighbor 17 something to measure that they are really 18 working? I mean, I can see they probably are 19 working and that there is no opposition, but 20 is there anything else you want to put on the

If I could address

IRVIN:

record?

MS.

21

that? First of all, we are in much better communication with our neighbors, so we hear from them that things are working more smoothly. And when things crop up that aren't working smoothly, we know about them and can address them.

I do think though that to get at the spirit of your question in addition to the many procedures and the specifics of our Traffic Management Plan, there has been a big difference I think in the time that I have been at the school in the level of education that we do with your parent community.

There hasn't been a history of accidents at the site, but I think there has been over the years a history of drivers who come in from other neighborhoods not thinking about how they are driving and acting in ways that may not be unsafe, may not be illegal, but are not particularly courteous. And we have, in my view, successfully and from what we hear through our neighbors successfully

2.

1	changed the culture of the school. That it's
2	no longer okay to just drive in and do things
3	that are just rude, even if they are not
4	unsafe or illegal.
5	So we feel that there has been a
6	noticeable change in culture, you know, as
7	well as in safety, which has never really been
8	a problem, and we repeatedly and frequently
9	ask our neighbors to tell us if that's not
10	happening, so we can amend our provisions.
11	Does that answer your question?
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes, thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
15	Anything else?
16	BOARD MEMBER MANN: I have a
17	question.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
19	BOARD MEMBER MANN: Regarding the
20	operation of the drop-off and pick-up. It was
21	just unclear to me from the TMP and from the
22	plans whether or not vehicles actually enter

1	the grounds of the school or if that occurs
2	only from the street.
3	MS. IRVIN: We don't have vehicle
4	access to the property other than the street,
5	so it's all entry from the street.
6	BOARD MEMBER MANN: And aside from
7	drop-off and pick-up, is there any vehicle
8	access to the grounds?
9	MS. IRVIN: No.
10	BOARD MEMBER MANN: Great. Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything
12 13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything else? Very well.
13	else? Very well.
13 14 15	else? Very well. BOARD MEMBER MANN: Actually, Mr.
13 14 15	else? Very well. BOARD MEMBER MANN: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I just had one brief question.
13 14 15 16	else? Very well. BOARD MEMBER MANN: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I just had one brief question. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
13 14 15 16 17	else? Very well. BOARD MEMBER MANN: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I just had one brief question. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. BOARD MEMBER MANN: But I don't
13 14 15 16 17 18	else? Very well. BOARD MEMBER MANN: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I just had one brief question. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. BOARD MEMBER MANN: But I don't think even particularly this goes to the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	else? Very well. BOARD MEMBER MANN: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I just had one brief question. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes. BOARD MEMBER MANN: But I don't think even particularly this goes to the application, but what is the purpose of the

1	is conducted in the playhouse. In particular,
2	our music program, our motor program and our
3	library program.
4	BOARD MEMBER MANN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
6	Is that middle mike not working? All right.
7	We'll figure that out. Very well. That being
8	said, has the ANC come in at all? Any
9	representative from the ANC? Okay. Are there
10	any other questions from the Board? Any other
11	witnesses, Mr. Quin? Very well. If there is
12	nothing further, questions from the Board, I
13	will take it as that is your case presentation
14	in chief. Is that correct?
15	MR. QUIN: That's correct.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. Let
17	me just make one note. We just were handed
18	I don't know if that's proper English. I was
19	just handed a letter. I don't know if you
20	have seen this from a Mr. Silver.
21	MR. QUIN: No.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Are you

aware of this?

2.

MR. QUIN: No, I'm not aware of any letter.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. We're going to make a copy and provide it for you. At this time, what I would like to do is, not that it is of any real value for you folks, but the Board has been here since early this morning doing other things, but this is the most important thing we have. However, I would like to take a short lunch break, in which case we would return and finish this entire thing this afternoon.

And we could recall this at 1:30 and I assess that we would be done by no later than 3:00 it would appear, based on what we have left. When we return, we would hear from the Office of Planning to begin. We will hear the other Government agency reports and then persons testimonies and closings, unless there is any comment on that.

Good. Any questions? Then let's

1	do that now. We will recess briefly for lunch
2	and we will resume. Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the Public Hearing was
4	recessed at 12:29 p.m. to reconvene at 1:37
5	p.m. this same day.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	1:37 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well.
4	Let's resume. As we left off, we were going
5	to go to the Office of Planning's report. Ms.
6	Maxine Brown-Roberts is with us.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good
8	afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Members of the
9	Zoning Commission, of the Board of Zoning
10	Adjustment. I am Maxine Brown-Roberts from
11	the Office of Planning.
12	I think we are in agreement that
13	the majority of the issues have been worked
14	out and the Office of Planning does not find
15	any problems with the application and thinks
16	that as outlined in our report, thinks that
17	they have met all the requirements of section
18	205 and we, therefore, recommend an approval.
19	There are, I think, maybe three
20	things that I wanted to or amendments that
21	I wanted to make to our report. Number one is

that in our report we stated that the age of

the children were from 2.5 to 5 years. We have since been advised that kids will go up to 6 years.

In addition to that, one of the conditions that we recommended was that the approval be for a period of 20 years to run consistently with the agreement. The Office of Planning would defer on that to the Board in that the applicant has requested that that time frame be taken out. I think we would feel comfortable either way. If it's there, it's okay, it puts a time period on.

The second thing, if it goes away, at least we know that there is agreement there and if they were -- if the number of students and the staff was to increase, then they would have to come back, and so there would be that second chance. There is a chance that if it increases, that it will come back. So we think that the time limit is not a crucial part of the recommendation.

Also, on Condition No. 5, we

2.

1	stated that the number of events outside of
2	regular school hours will be limited to 12.
3	I think that was a misinterpretation on our
4	part of exactly what the agreement was saying
5	and, therefore, we would like to change that
6	to say the number of events outside of regular
7	school hours will be limited to those outlined
8	in the agreement. And that's it.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
10	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Questions?
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you
12	very much. Are there questions from the
13	Board? Go ahead, Mr. Hood. Do you have a
14	question?
15	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Yes. Ms.
16	Brown-Roberts, I'm thoroughly confused now and
17	I know it's real simple, but I'm confused
18	about this time limit issue. Could you
19	explain to me?
20	
20	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes.
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HOOD: You said at

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Because I'm
3	slow.
4	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: The agreement
5	that the applicant has submitted that goes
6	along with this application is for has a
7	time limit of 20 years. Following that 20
8	years, it is open. However, we are saying
9	that we are going to we are recommending
10	approval of 185 students.
11	So if after that 25 after that
12	20 years when the agreement is moot, if they
13	increase the number of students, then they
14	will have to come back in. They would have to
15	come back in anyway. So what I'm trying to
16	say is that the 20 years, putting the time
17	limit of 20 years is
18	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Don't we
19	usually have a time limit? I guess you don't.
20	Okay.
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Not in all.
22	COMMISSIONER HOOD: I don't do

1	enough.
2	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: We have had
3	some that have had time limits and some no.
4	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Okay. All
5	right.
6	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: But I think
7	what I'm trying to say, that in this case we
8	would feel comfortable not applying a time
9	limit because we know that there is the
10	agreement out there that is going to tie them
11	to that and that has some incremental
12	additions of students, because it won't go to
13	185 at the beginning.
14	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Right.
15	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: You know, it's
16	going to
17	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Phase in.
18	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: increase
19	over time. Right.
20	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Okay. All
21	right. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.

Anything else? Any other questions from the 1 Board of the Office of Planning? 2. 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: With respect 4 to the limitation on events outside of regular 5 school hours, you said you're not recommending 12 anymore, but what is in the settlement 6 7 agreement. Can you either refer us to that or 8 summarize it or --9 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think it's attachment --10 11 VICE CHAIR MILLER: C. 12 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Attachment 3. 13 There is a table. It's not attached to my It's attached to the agreement. 14 report. 15 the agreement it's Attachment 3. It's a table and there are a number of activities outlined 16 17 However, when you count the number 18 of events, it totals 12. However, if you go 19 back to the text, what is says is that some of 20 those events can occur more than once during 21 the year.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS:

22

I see.

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: So that was
2	our mistake in thinking that there would only
3	be 12 events, but because some of those
4	activities can occur more than once, it's
5	going to be more than 12.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So,
7	basically, is it your position that the number
8	of events outside of the regular school hours
9	should be what is outlined in the settlement
10	agreement?
11	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Right, that's
12	what I'm saying.
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So my
14	next question is the settlement agreement only
15	lasts for 20 years. Is it your position that
16	this condition outlive the settlement
17	agreement?
18	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I hadn't
19	thought of that.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Let me phrase
21	it a different way. I guess what I'm saying
22	is when I look at the conditions that you're

1	proposing, I believe that you think, the way
2	I read them, that certainly the enrollment of
3	up to 185 should be the limit.
4	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Right.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: If we don't
6	put a term on this, that should be the limit.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Right.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And same with
9	the staff number.
10	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: We didn't get
12	into hours of operation yet, but I guess I'm
13	questioning whether you feel that way about
14	number of events. You know, is that critical
15	to this approval as a special exception?
16	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, I think
17	so. I think maybe what would be better, maybe
18	we need to rephrase it, take some of the
19	language from in order to detach it from
20	the settlement agreement is to maybe take the
21	language from there and insert it here, so
22	that we are not referring back to the

1	settlement agreement, you know, and the order
2	will stand even when the settlement agreement
3	goes away. Is that
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I
5	understand what you're saying.
б	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I don't
8	know whether, you know, you want to take a
9	little time, you know, during this hearing
10	before we get to conditions to tell us
11	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: what that
13	language would be.
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: So we could
16	appreciate, you know
17	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I think
19	because what we'll be looking at is otherwise,
20	if it's not limited this way, then there is
21	going to be an adverse impact and we would
22	want to, you know, be imposing

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: To address it.
2	VICE CHAIR MILLER: that
3	limitation.
4	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Right. Okay.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: For that
6	reason. Okay.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, that's
8	fine.
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And the hours
10	of operation, is that just the way it has
11	always been and
12	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, and I
1.	think, you know, the hours of operation is
13	chillik, you know, the hours of operation is
14	also blended with the Transportation
14	also blended with the Transportation
14	also blended with the Transportation Management Plan and all that and I think, you
14 15 16	also blended with the Transportation Management Plan and all that and I think, you know, all that is worked out and it's
14 15 16 17	also blended with the Transportation Management Plan and all that and I think, you know, all that is worked out and it's appropriate.
14 15 16 17	also blended with the Transportation Management Plan and all that and I think, you know, all that is worked out and it's appropriate. VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
14 15 16 17 18	also blended with the Transportation Management Plan and all that and I think, you know, all that is worked out and it's appropriate. VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Any other

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
2	MR. QUIN: Is that better?
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: They took
4	all the mikes away from you, didn't they?
5	Yes, yes, yes. I got the memo. All right.
6	If there is nothing further, thank you very
7	much. We do appreciate it. Let's move ahead
8	unless there's other quick clarifications from
9	Board Members for the Office of Planning.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have one
11	more question.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
	CHILLIE ENGON CHILLIE
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the
13 14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the applicant presents proposed conditions that
13 14 15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the applicant presents proposed conditions that phase in, increases, do you have a comment on
13 14 15 16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the applicant presents proposed conditions that phase in, increases, do you have a comment on those versus your proposed conditions?
13 14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the applicant presents proposed conditions that phase in, increases, do you have a comment on those versus your proposed conditions? MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think we
13 14 15 16 17 18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the applicant presents proposed conditions that phase in, increases, do you have a comment on those versus your proposed conditions? MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think we didn't want to get because we thought the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Like if the applicant presents proposed conditions that phase in, increases, do you have a comment on those versus your proposed conditions? MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think we didn't want to get because we thought the whole phasing thing was really complicated and

In addition to that, we thought that the increases were really slight, so we thought that -- and one of the things we talked to the applicant about was to make sure that the Transportation Management Plan that is being implemented now could accommodate the 185 students, you know, and then there is also the flexibility for them to make any changes or monitoring along with DDOT during the time, so that is basically why we didn't include it.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Was there a report from DDOT?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON Right. GRIFFIS: We'll get copies of that made. The Board has not seen that and I note that in the Office of Planning's report that they had indicated that it conversation they had а that were anticipating a report to be in the record by the hearing, and so it looks like it came in vesterday. We'll qet that copied distributed. Is there anything else?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have one
2	more question. Often we see a condition from
3	Office of Planning, I think, that there be
4	some that it be in accordance with the TMP
5	or something and you didn't propose any
6	condition relating to that.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: We didn't
8	because we didn't get any concrete thing from
9	DDOT. We were leaving it up to them to do
10	that.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
12	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good. While
14	they are making copies of that, is there
15	anything else for the Office of Planning? I
16	would note that Exhibit No. 20 in our record
17	is from the Department of Health. Obviously,
18	in some of the requirements of 205 it speaks
19	much more to establishing setting up a child
20	development center.
21	This is already established,
22	obviously for decades and, therefore, the

1 requirements for licensing, etcetera, are in 2. the record, but we do have also that. 3 department on record. Anything else? We have the historic we can get into. 4 5 Is there anyone here representing the Cleveland Park Historic District, 6 7 Cleveland Park Historic District? Indeed. 8 Very well. Not having any representative, 9 we'll wait for this DDOT report. Otherwise, that is it for the Government and agency 10 11 reporting on this application unless you're 12 aware of any other, Mr. Quin, that I have not 13 noticed. 14 MR. OUIN: No, I'm not aware of 15 any other reports. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 16 17 Let's just take two moments to review this. 18 I would note that DDOT makes it very clear 19 that they did not support the previous 20 application to the traffic safety concerns primarily related to drop-off and pick-up 21

activities.

However, the applicant, as they 1 have indicated, has worked to address the 2. 3 traffic safety concerns and reached 4 settlement agreement with their neighbors in 5 Accordingly, opposition. DDOT has no objection to the application. 6 Interesting. 7 Okay. Anything else on that? Okay. 8 If there is nothing further then, 9 other questions from the Board, no clarifications on any Government reporting 10 11 agencies or any of those reports, we will take 12 note of DDOT's report, give it an exhibit number and put it into the record. 13 14 I think we can move ahead then and 15 ask if the ANC is present yet, ANC? Noting 16 again that there is no presence from the ANC 17 for the presentation of their report, we will 18 make note of exhibit -- what is the exhibit 19 number on that? Not to worry. I'll find it. 20 Ms. Bailey, do you show an exhibit

I don't actually show one on

mine.

number on it?

21

1	MS. BAILEY: Exhibit 27, Mr.
2	Chairman.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
4	Exhibit 27 is the resolution of 2006/06/2 of
5	the ANC. Is there any questions or comments
6	on that? Mr. Quin, do you have any comments
7	or reactions to the ANC's resolution?
8	MR. QUIN: Yes, it is also
9	included in our statement.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.
11	MR. QUIN: And we very much
12	applaud that report.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
14	Anything else? Any other questions, comments?
15	Very well. Moving along. Let me ask that all
16	people, persons present that would like to
17	provide testimony in support of the
18	application at this time can come forward and
19	make themselves comfortable at the table. You
20	might want to sit to your right, my left, so
21	that microphone works.
22	Ms. Miller may have a comment on

2	
4	and here it is.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Quin, I
4	guess we may get to this later perhaps when we
5	discuss conditions, and I know you applauded
6	the order, I mean, the ANC report. Do you
7	agree with all of its resolves, in particular
8	No. 3, that a zoning order can that there
9	be a zoning order condition that requires the
10	applicant to enter into a negotiated
11	construction management agreement?
12	MR. QUIN: We
13	PARTICIPANT: His client.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Oh, or your
15	client, I'm sorry, either one. Right. Well,
16	he applauded the order and I didn't
17	MR. QUIN: The answer
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I was just
19	questioning whether you agree with everything
20	in it.
21	MR. QUIN: Well
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: There are a

couple of things that I thought you might not. 1 Well, I think we agree 2. MR. QUIN: 3 primarily with the fact that it supports this 4 application. The construction agreement is 5 something that we have to work out under our agreement, so that is going to be accomplished 6 7 anyway, and I think the other conditions seem 8 They are the ones that we are already 9 agreeing to. 10 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. 12 Anything else? Nothing else? Very well then. 13 A very good afternoon to you. If you wouldn't mind stating your name and address for the 14 15 record, you can proceed. My name is 16 MS. VANNEMAN: Sure. 17 Jane Vanneman and I live at 3071 Ordway Street 18 with my husband. We have lived there since 19 January of 1993. Our house is directly across 20 from the NCRC property on Ordway Street. I have been an active member of 21 22 the neighbor group since NCRC filed

earlier application, and I was an active participant in the settlement negotiations that led to the settlement agreement between the school and the neighbors that has been discussed today. That was executed in March of 2006. I am one of the members of the Cleveland Park neighbors who signed acceptance of the settlement agreement.

The settlement agreement also provides and established a Standing Committee with three representatives each from the school and the neighbors. The individual signatories neighbor to the settlement agreement, which include all of the individual neighbors who were parties to the prior BZA proceeding, which is currently on appeal at the D.C. Court of Appeals, now stayed, elected me to the Standing Committee to represent the neighbors along with two other neighbor representatives.

As a member of the Standing Committee, I attend the meetings and receive

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

reports and information from the school and as we begin this process, to implement the settlement agreement. I speak today as one of the neighbors, representing the neighbors, not in any kind of legal capacity, but just as one of the neighbors.

At the conclusion of the earlier BZA proceedings, the Board strongly encouraged the parties to settle their dispute and that is what we did. The negotiations to reach the settlement agreement took many months, lots of time, lots of expense and ultimately the parties reached a compromise, this agreement, even though it was difficult for many in the neighborhood to accept all the terms even as I'm sure it was difficult for some members of the school community to accept the terms.

You have a copy. We have talked about it. It's 18 pages long. We tried to be as comprehensive as possible. The neighbors and the school, I believe, have a very strong interest in seeing that this settlement

2.

agreement is followed and implemented.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So subject to very important conditions, some of which have been discussed this morning, the neighbors agree to support this application today, but only if, and I repeat only if, all the material terms in the settlement agreement are part of the BZAorder, so that it is fully consistent. And you might look paragraph 7 of at the settlement agreement at some time that goes into some of those details.

I do want to caution that if the BZA order issues any order that is not consistent with the terms of the settlement agreement, that would create an opportunity for any party to terminate the settlement agreement, which then revives the previous order that otherwise would be dismissed and would ensure continuing litigation.

So in a very profound way, all of us, the neighbors and the school, are counting on the Board finding acceptable the terms to

which we have agreed. I will just note a few of the really important elements of consideration that went into this settlement agreement, five in particular.

One, it's a contract enforceable parties with built-in the private enforcement mechanisms for а variety of disputes, including arbitration and possibly Superior Court litigation, if need be. Nobody wants to go there, but it is a contract. Tt. runs with the land. It has been recorded with the Recorder of Deeds.

There is an agreement to NCRC renovating its main building with a slight expansion to the footprint from the earlier order, but there is no new construction of the classroom building that was approved before and no change in the footprint to the bike shed. We did agree that NCRC could replace that in kind.

An important point for us in this settlement agreement was that this

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

application, if approved, does not create the kind of substantial new capacity that could have been created with the new classroom building, and it also ratifies NCRC's current enrollment of 171, unlike the order on appeal which cut them back. So, as you can see, the parties traded off and resolved a lot of different aspects of the earlier dispute.

The agreement also has very carefully constructed phase-in increases in enrollment and staffing with an ability for the parties to monitor that and built-in remedies if there would be to any violations of those. And while it may seem complicated on paper, we did work it out, and I think the parties understand what it means.

Also, the Traffic Management Plan is an important part of this agreement. It has evolved over the years and as a member of the Standing Committee, I can tell you that we discuss it at every meeting and to the extent any problems arise, the school has been very

2.

responsive to dealing with any problems, which have been limited in nature since we started to meet.

And I will say that the letter that came in right before the break was from someone whom I do not know, but it appears that he may be -- Mr. Silver may be new to the neighborhood. He lives on the part of Highland that is one way that does not carry NCRC traffic.

And during the break, you know, we agreed that somehow or other the members of the Standing Committee will communicate with him, and it points out communicate with anyone else who may move into the neighborhood later to advise them of the Standing Committee. That is the whole point. We try to resolve these disputes and make improvements to the TMP as needed.

What is also related to this in response to the question about the special events, the text which implicates number of

2.

trips and traffic and parking and things like that, you might look at page 8, number 4. It does list 12 kinds of events, some of which are more than once at a time, but I also believe that we can work that out with the Standing Committee.

The agreement was that the school would not -- if they were to substitute anything, they would not substitute any event with anything that is substantially different. We know roughly how many board meetings they have every year, so I think that is something, again, we can work out with the Standing Committee.

The final point I would like to mention that is really, really crucial to the 20 neighbors is the year term to the This provides a great deal of agreement. certainty and stability for all parties, the school in planning its future, and neighbors in understanding what its future will be with the school as a neighbor.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20 year term is a key point.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So if any of these material conditions and others in the settlement agreement are not reflected in the final BZA order, we would not be in a position to support it, but I also want to make clear that the neighbors do not want to reopen this dispute and I know the school doesn't.

We want to implement the settlement agreement that was reached after a lot of back and forth and much thought and involvement by a lot of people, and so we strongly encourage the BZA to issue its order consistent with the settlement agreement that you have before you.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. That's two points that Thank you very much. are not necessarily similar. Issuing an order that is consistent with the settlement including our order agreement and as а condition of compliance with the settlement agreement are two different things.

1	Are you of the understanding that
2	the settlement agreement is a binding
3	contract?
4	MS. VANNEMAN: Absolutely, yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And it's
6	enforceable?
7	MS. VANNEMAN: Yes. The parties
8	can enforce it as a contractual matter and we
9	included a number of specific
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure, sure.
11	MS. VANNEMAN: privately
12	enforceable remedies within the document to
13	the extent any major disputes arose.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Are appeal
15	provisions provided in that settlement
16	agreement?
17	MS. VANNEMAN: There are
18	provisions for going to arbitration after
19	certain days of notice and things like that if
20	there were
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So what is
22	the importance of having it as a listed

1	condition and in the order that we issue?
2	MS. VANNEMAN: Any the BZA
3	order governs the operations of the school and
4	if there is anything in the order that is
5	inconsistent with the agreement, you know,
6	with a material term, then it could completely
7	reopen the earlier dispute.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Sure.
9	MS. VANNEMAN: Which nobody wants.
10	And so what is key in terms of the BZA order
11	that governs their operations is to make sure
12	that the order is consistent with the
13	agreement.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So you're
15	asking us to be consistent with the settlement
16	agreement?
17	MS. VANNEMAN: Yes, and include at
18	least the terms that the school has proposed
19	at a bare minimum and
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: What is
21	MR. QUIN: Which are set forth
22	under Tab H.

1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Oh, your --2 the proposed conditions. Okay. Ms. Miller? 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have a few 4 comments and then I have a few questions for 5 you. 6 MS. VANNEMAN: Sure. 7 VICE first CHAIR MILLER: Мγ 8 is this probably goes to all the comment 9 parties, but I really want to congratulate you all on coming to that, such a comprehensive 10 11 agreement that appears to have brought peace 12 to your corner of the neighborhood. 13 MS. VANNEMAN: Thank you. 14 VICE CHAIR MILLER: МУ second 15 comment is that that settlement agreement is 16 certainly something that is a major piece of evidence in this case, I think, that is a 17 18 major factor that represents some facts that 19 go to the issues that we have to decide, such 20 as ways in which adverse impacts are going to 21 be mitigated, etcetera.

But what I want to say is that

the Board to enforce we're put on our regulations and we need to look our regulations when we make our decisions, not necessarily to a private agreement, that we can't just say, okay, we're going to decide this in accordance with your agreement.

And I don't think that they are necessarily inconsistent at all, but the standard that I would be looking at would be in 205 and it goes to, in particular, that there be no objectionable traffic conditions and no unsafe conditions, you know, that result from the school's presence there.

That is, you know, а synopsis, so I just wanted to put that on the table. Ι don't think they should be consistent. I think that everybody here wants the school to be operating in a way that doesn't create any adverse impacts on the neighborhood.

One question I have, and this kind of came up in this morning, because we had a

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

decision on another case where we were making 1 2. a clarification about another school, what the 3 term goes to. If you're asking for a 20 year 4 5 term on this approval, is that on the -- does that go to the existence of the school or does 6 7 20 whether years to see the 8 enrollment figure is the right figure or 20 9 years to see if the TMP is right, as opposed to any other broader issues that -- you know, 10 11 it doesn't go to the addition or do you know 12 what I'm saying? 13 Well, I think the MS. VANNEMAN: 20 years --14 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: What is the 16 point of the 20 year term? 17 The point of the 20 MS. VANNEMAN: 18 years from the neighbors was to provide some 19 kind of stability and certainty to what the 20 level of operations of the school would be for those 20 years, and to know that we wouldn't 21 22 have to engage in, you know, a battle every five or six years about the school's operations.

And I think as a member of the Standing Committee and in speaking with other neighbors, that is of tremendous benefit to the neighbors who live there as well as to anyone else who might move into the neighborhood to have some certainty as to what the operations will be.

think everyone realized that towards the end of the 20 years when the settlement agreement contract expires, then the neighbors and the school will have to address whatever issues might arise, which no one can predict at this point, but the 20 years was very important from the neighbors' know point of view to that we had Everybody something. agreement. gave Everybody got something.

It's not perfect, but in the sense that -- I mean, nobody got everything that they wanted. The school didn't. The

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

neighbors didn't, but we reached a very comprehensive settlement that people now know, good, you know, if the BZA issues an order that is consistent with this, then the neighbors and the school can get along and try to reestablish the relationships that we had before, which I think we have really been doing through the Standing Committee and other just communications within the neighborhood with the neighbors and the school.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I guess
I just want to -- just looking at our own
regulations, I understand that it's a major
feat that you all have this settlement
agreement and it's great. We have to look at
our regulations.

MS. VANNEMAN: I understand.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I just want to get the facts, you know, that I need to weigh. And with respect to the 20 years, I guess my question is like, for instance, on the enrollment figure or the faculty figure

2.

that we have in place, it could be 20 years, it could be longer.

Do you feel that you don't have confidence enough in that figure that you need to see if that figure is really going to work, because sometimes we put terms on because we're not sure if something is going to work, so we put a term on it to see?

MS. VANNEMAN: The neighbors, I know, do not want anything less than a 20 year term and what we did in structuring the very limited enrollment and staff increases, phased in after the work is done on the main building, so that there would be -- like NCRC the would do the construction on main building. Then enrollment and staffing could increase slightly for a three year period, increase slightly a little more than that in the next three year period.

There is a number fixed in there with the four slots, you know, give or take for the admissions process. But if, for

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 example, NCRC went over that, that number plus four, then there is a built-in mechanism for 2. 3 NCRC having to reduce it the following year or 4 years, so that on average the enrollment is 5 capped and limited. And to the extent there is 6 increase, which is up to 10, it's phased in 7 8 after an initial status quo period and then I 9 think three year terms of phased-in increases for enrollment in staffing. So we believe it 10 11 can work. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I think what 13 Ms. Miller is trying to get to is the question is what is the 20 years actually mitigating? 14 What is the adverse impact that it's trying to 15 16 assess? 17 You indicated that it gives some 18 stability to the neighbors because they know 19 what the operations are, but they will know 20 that whether it's a 20 year term or not. 20 21 years doesn't go to that.

Well --

MS. VANNEMAN:

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: At the end
2	of 20 years, if that changed they would have
3	to come back and revisit in a special
4	exception. At the end of 50 years, they would
5	have to do it. At the end of one year, they
6	will have to do that. So what is the 20 years
7	actually addressing is what Ms. Miller's
8	question is.
9	MS. VANNEMAN: The 20 years
10	addresses that if the Board approves it, then
11	the neighbors don't have to face another round
12	of hearings in terms of what numbers might be.
13	It will be fixed according to the numbers to
14	which we have agreed and limited and capped.
15	Now, everybody knows that after
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So let's say
17	it's 50 years. Is there a problem with 50?
18	MS. VANNEMAN: Well, we have a
19	minimum of at least 20. I don't know if the
20	school would agree to anything more than that.
21	I mean, we
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okav.

1	MS. VANNEMAN: I think parties
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I
3	understand. I think I understand your
4	position.
5	MS. VANNEMAN: The parties realize
6	that there is, you know, a certain amount of
7	time you can fix. 50 years might be
8	unreasonable for the school.
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have another
10	question on a different topic, and I probably
11	could have asked this to the applicant, as
12	well, but it sounds like there is a really
13	good TMP in place.
14	Is there a flexibility in the
15	settlement agreement that, you know, sets
16	forth the TMP is in the settlement
17	agreement. Is that right?
18	MS. VANNEMAN: It's attached to
19	the exhibit.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Attached.
21	Okay. Is there flexibility for that to
22	change?

1	MS. VANNEMAN: Absolutely, yes.
2	VICE CHAIR MILLER: But the way
3	it's phrased, even with the changes, there is
4	something you know you can count on that will
5	still be in place during the term that you're
6	even suggesting?
7	MS. VANNEMAN: Right.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: The 20 years.
9	MS. VANNEMAN: At a minimum I
10	would believe that we would have what we have
11	now plus the Standing Committee and anybody
12	else in the neighborhood would work towards
13	making whatever changes might be necessary.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So it
15	does allow for change.
16	MS. VANNEMAN: Over time.
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Even over
18	time. Good.
19	MS. VANNEMAN: Yes.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And the
21	events, can you articulate what kind of
22	limitation or not a limitation that there

should be on the events?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. VANNEMAN: Well, the events in that exhibit were listed to 12 different types of events. Some are single events like the Pumpkin Party. Others are, it's true, more than one event like board meetings. I believe that the neighbors and the school know roughly how many, you know, more than one any of these events might be and the goal, I think, of the agreement was to make sure that there aren't any more than that.

So, for example, if there is a board meeting every month, I'm not exactly sure of how often it is, but we know that. That's okay, but there cannot any if additional events or there are substitutions of events for what is listed, they have to be of the same type of nature and impact on the neighborhood.

So, again, I believe that's something. To the extent there is any ambiguity, if there is any, I believe it's

1	something the Standing Committee can work out.
2	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Great. Thank
3	you very much.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything
5	else? Questions, clarifications, cross?
6	MR. QUIN: No cross. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
8	Absolutely. Very well. Thank you very much.
9	MS. VANNEMAN: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: We do
11	appreciate it. Is there anyone else here to
12	provide testimony in support of the
13	application, in opposition to the application?
14	Is there anyone else here present, persons
15	that would like to provide testimony in any
16	frame?
17	Very well. Not noting any other
18	persons to provide testimony, let's move ahead
19	then. I don't have any other oh, we should
20	take notice. We did make note of the letter
21	that came in today in raising concerns. We
22	will address that perhaps or have the

1 applicant address those elements that were 2. brought forward. 3 Very well. And I have no record 4 of any other filings of letters in support or 5 in opposition unless, Mr. Quin, you're aware of any other submissions in the record that I 6 7 haven't acknowledged. 8 MR. QUIN: No, I'm not. 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 10 Very well then. I think we are prepared to 11 move forward and have you present any rebuttal 12 witnesses and/or conclusions. 13 Well, unless there are MR. OUIN: questions, I had not planned to call any 14 15 witnesses, but I did want to summarize and 16 also respond to the letter --17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent. 18 MR. QUIN: -- that you received. 19 Unfortunately, Mr. Silver appears to be a new 20 neighbor, not that it's unfortunate that he is a neighbor, but that he just is getting 21

And it's interesting to

involved in this.

1 that in point, he note SO has communicated either to the school or to the 2. 3 Standing Committee. If you can look at the chart. 4 5 This is Newark Street, the map at the bottom, Newark Street, east/west. 6 The turn into Highland Place is at the far right of the 7 8 aerial photograph and Highland Place comes up. 9 The school is here and then the turn out back to Newark is forced, because this portion of 10 11 Highland Place is one way west eastbound. 12 So Mr. Silver lives somewhere in the area west of 33rd Street, which is one way 13 to the east, so that it's important to note 14 15 that he is not on the path of the school's routing for the drop-off. And it is important 16 17 to note that the people who are on Highland Place most affected are involved in this 18 19 agreement just by comparison. 20 The other thing that I think is really important, in the spirit of the way the 21

outreach has gone from the school and the

receptivity of the neighbors, the school commits to make -- to contacting Mr. Silver.

And, also, as was just stated earlier, Mr. Silver can certainly come to the Standing Committee and try to address whatever concerns he has. So it's -- his letter is not lost in the darkness or some great abyss. It's something that the school will be very sensitive to.

I think that really is all I wanted to say about the letter unless there are questions, because I think that addresses it.

The question of conditions, we submitted in accordance with the requirements of the agreement those conditions set forth under H. And, of course, what that really does for the Board under its jurisdiction is the maximum number of students and the maximum number of faculty and staff. That is your normal condition in terms of numbers. The phasing is a step to get to that number that

2.

is an agreement between the parties.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

On the condition that relates to the 20 year term, it's our position that an order of the Board without a term is not inconsistent with the 20 year agreement of the neighbors. Obviously, at the end of that 20 year term, if something else happens in terms of numbers or some amendment to the site, they have to come back to the Board of Zoning Adjustment if that's the law at that time.

And Ι think everybody so protected, but the school very much will -you know, supports this agreement, obviously, or we wouldn't be here and we think that the real problem in terms of having a condition is the lack of flexibility in terms of mortgages and in financing, because this is going to be -- I don't know the actual number nor I think does the school know the actual number, but we know that it's over several million dollars in construction costs and that would be a loan which they would like to amortize over

period of time.

2.

And if the interest rates are such that by a longer mortgage or the payments are less, that is very important for the school. So that would be one of the reasons that we would not want a term in the order.

Other than that, we would -- are here to answer any additional questions. And I would also point out that this not an interim plan for a school. It's not a campus plan for a college or a higher education facility and like other cases that you have had, where there is a major investment that has to -- a capital improvement, the Board has not put limitations on, because the capital improvement is something that is a permanent improvement.

So with those items, unless there is a question, we would submit that we have met the test, that our statement is in the record. We request your earliest favorable decision. We will draft an order and submit

1	it to the Board, hopefully in accordance with
2	your favorable ruling.
3	We would love to have a decision
4	today if that is possible. And unless there
5	is something else that my client would like to
6	state, I think we're prepared to stand on the
7	record at this point.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Excellent.
9	Thank you very much. Follow-up questions,
10	clarifications, any additional information
11	that the Board needs at this time? Ms.
12	Miller?
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Does the TMP
14	I was just trying to look through it myself,
15	but I'm sure you know this. Does the TMP in
16	itself provide the flexibility to change in
17	consultation with the Standing Committee that
18	we have heard about or is that just
19	MR. QUIN: Yes, it does.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: It does.
21	MR. QUIN: But if you want more
22	detail, Carrie, do you want to address that?

1 MS. IRVIN: Yes, we have those in more detail. 2. 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, maybe 4 you can just tell me where it is. 5 flexibility is built into the TMP. Is that right? 6 7 MS. IRVIN: The TMP is meant to be 8 a document that is -- we issue it every year 9 to a new group or, you know, our group of parents for that year and they have to sign 10 11 Even returning parents sign a new one. 12 So, by definition, we can change it and, in fact, we can change it every month if we 13 wanted to. 14 15 It's meant to meet the conditions 16 if they change that we're faced with, so it's 17 never meant to be and it's not stated that it 18 is a fixed document in any way if that's what 19 you're asking. 20 VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I'm sorry. Your voice dropped at one point. I guess in 21 22 the event where we're considering conditions

1	and there is a condition proposed by you all
2	anyway with respect to the TMP, you know,
3	sometimes there is a concern if this is a 20
4	year period or longer just to make sure that
5	there could be changes if you all decided that
6	that was appropriate.
7	I'm just wondering is that in the
8	TMP, that changes can be made to it or
9	MS. IRVIN: To it.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: is that
11	your practice?
12	MR. QUIN: If you look at page 9
13	of the agreement as
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, but is it
15	in okay.
16	MR. QUIN: It's in
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I wasn't
18	looking at the settlement agreement though.
19	I'm looking at the TMP.
20	MR. QUIN: The TMP. It's under
21	Tab 4.
22	MS. IRVIN: I think the answer to

your question is there is nothing in the TMP that specifically says it can be changed and parents are still obligated to follow it. What we put in the agreement is that the school must maintain a Traffic Management Plan, the goal of which is to keep the -- you know, minimize the impact of -- right now it's this one. It could be a comparable one.

If it's not this one, then that needs to have the agreement of the Standing Committee, but it does -- I mean, we could add if needed certainly a phrase or a clause in our Traffic Management Plan that says the school retains the right to make changes to this Traffic Management Plan, if that's what you're asking.

MR. QUIN: The key here, if you look at page 9 of the agreement, I'm sorry to refer back to the agreement, but it says any changes, any member of the Standing Committee may propose a change to the committee, changes to the Transportation Management Plan. Any

2.

1	such changes shall be subject to the review
2	and approval of the majority of the Standing
3	Committee.
4	So it's one of these negotiated
5	items. If the Board felt that some other
6	teeth were required in your order, which I
7	don't know why you would, but if you did for
8	our Transportation Management Plan, I think
9	you can require a Transportation Management
10	Plan and if you want us to, we can submit it
11	to the Department of Transportation for a
12	review, but I think that's maybe a little
13	bit
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, no, no,
15	no, no.
16	MR. QUIN: Okay.
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: My point was
18	and I think that is good language on page 9 of
19	the settlement agreement, but I don't think
20	it's in your proposed conditions.
21	MR. QUIN: That's correct.
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: So that if the

1	Board, you know, in thinking about conditions
2	wanted to require a Transportation Management
3	Plan
4	MR. QUIN: Sure, you could adopt
5	that.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: that's a
7	good paragraph, yes.
8	MR. QUIN: Yes.
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. That's
10	my point.
11	MR. QUIN: Thank you.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: My second
13	question goes to the events again. If the
14	Board were to have a limitation on events, I
15	think that Office of Planning was going to
16	revisit what they recommended. I don't think
17	you recommended a condition to that.
18	MR. QUIN: We would have no
19	objection.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You would have
21	no objection to that, and what could you
22	give us any your opinion on what that

MR. QUIN: Well, the condition would incorporate those that are on Tab 3 to

the agreement. Maybe, Carrie, you had another

condition would say?

5 || comment.

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. IRVIN: I mean, we wouldn't. We are not seeking events as a condition. To us it's in a different category than sort of the enrollment in the traffic and I think it was considered by the parties who negotiated the agreement to be of a different level of concern.

But if you -- you know, that being said, we're comfortable with, again, language in the agreement which basically says it doesn't limit us to having only these events that are called by these exact names for the next 20 years.

It says these are the events we have right now. We aren't supposed to have more events or bigger events or different events and if we make any changes, we need to

1	do it through the Standing Committee. So,
2	again, this is meant to be the events we have
3	now. The neighbors agree with that. We have
4	room to change it. We are not permitted to
5	increase it and any changes need to go through
6	the Standing Committee.
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And is that in
8	the settlement agreement, too, or not?
9	MS. IRVIN: That language?
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You know,
11	about that you're only having these events and
12	if you want to make changes, you go through
13	the Standing Committee?
14	MR. QUIN: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: There it is.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Good.
17	MS. IRVIN: It's paragraph 4.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Attachment 3
19	is part of your settlement agreement, correct?
20	Okay. Attachment 3 is going to be past the
21	signature page.
22	MS. IRVIN: Okay. It's paragraph

1	4A on page 8 of the settlement agreement, and
2	I have been advised that it does, the language
3	does, include the opportunity for us to add
4	events in addition to that list with the
5	approval of the Standing Committee, which that
6	would be important to us to include in the
7	language as well.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Other
9	questions?
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: The hours of
11	operation that Office of Planning identified
12	in the conditions are accurate and acceptable
13	to the applicant?
14	MS. IRVIN: Yes, they are.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Do events
17	happen outside the hours of operation?
18	MS. IRVIN: Yes, they do.
19	MR. QUIN: That's covered.
20	MS. IRVIN: That's covered in the
21	events paragraph.
22	II

1	hours of operation, 8:00 to 5:00, basically
2	that represents the school's operations. Does
3	it mitigate any adverse conditions by putting
4	a condition in there to that effect?
5	MR. QUIN: Is it likely that you
6	would increase in any way the school hours,
7	the school operation hours?
8	MS. IRVIN: Is that what you're
9	asking?
10	MR. QUIN: No, that's what I'm
11	asking for a different reason.
12	MS. IRVIN: It's not likely. It's
13	not. No, it's not likely that we would
14	increase the hours of our school operation.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Quin, can
16	I ask you? I just want to get your opinion on
17	the record.
18	If there were no term on the
19	school, wouldn't it lock you in longer with
20	respect to the numbers of children enrolled
21	and the faculty, that in fact it seems like
22	that would be a stable it might be a stable

thing to do, that there would be more predictability that, in fact, 20 years from now, 25 years from now you would still be required to have those numbers?

MR. QUIN: I think we understand that. I mean, if you put -- if you enter an order that says maximum 185 and 44 faculty and staff, that does bind us forever until we come in to change and I think we understand that. And it provides -- it also is consistent with the agreement.

It's not that we, you know, the school in their wildest dreams, I guess, would say don't put any conditions whatsoever. Let us operate and leave us alone. But that's not where we are. I think it's important that we do have some constraints and it seems to me the most logical one is to pick up the 185 and 44 and at the end of 20 years, that's going to stay unless the school says we want some amendment.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have one

2.

1	other question. You made a point about
2	amortizing the loan and the longer being
3	related to a longer term.
4	MR. QUIN: Yes.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: What kind of
6	years were you talking about, just
7	MR. QUIN: Well, obviously, I'm
8	hoping for
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I mean, that
10	affects the loan. I mean, they go long. They
11	go 50 years? I mean, what are these, the
12	loans that you were
13	MR. QUIN: Well, I'm thinking
14	about a loan that would go first of all,
15	you would probably have a construction loan
16	that then would be turned into a permanent
17	loan of some sort, and that is solely going to
18	depend on the fund raising, but I suspect that
19	it's going to be a continuing financial
20	obligation for many years.
21	And all I was saying is we would
22	appreciate not being limited to 20 years, so

1	that if a loan came out as a quarter point
2	lower for a 25 year loan or a 30 year loan,
3	that that could be undertaken.
4	And even if it's paid off in a
5	shorter period, the amount of required payment
6	per month is much less and that is a very
7	practical solution that we have in many
8	commercial and nonresidential, for example.
9	Well, many of the major projects along
10	Wisconsin Avenue without getting into specific
11	ones that required that had some bonds
12	involved also dealt with the term of years.
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Anything
15	else? Any other questions, clarifications?
16	We're going to take a 10 minute break and
17	we're going to come back.
18	MR. QUIN: May I add one more
19	point?
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes.
21	MR. QUIN: We know that you are
22	measuring whether to grant the case, at least

1	I think you are, and what conditions you would
2	impose. We have been listening very carefully
3	to your discussion and I think we can pick up
4	in a draft order those. I know you have to
5	approve the draft order, but if there were an
6	indication, if you could approve the case
7	today, it would be quite nice for us. If you
8	can't, that's also understandable.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Well, what
10	would we do with your draft order if we
11	approved today?
12	MR. QUIN: You could state
13	generally the areas that you want the
14	conditions in and we will submit those
15	conditions
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see.
17	MR. QUIN: as part of the draft
18	order.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: I see. I
20	didn't understand that last time. I thought
21	you would actually submit a draft finding and
22	conclusions and then we would set this for a

1	decision, but you're saying we could
2	conceivably go with a Bench decision and you
3	would follow-up with a draft order?
4	MR. QUIN: Precisely.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Interesting.
6	Okay. Give us 10 minutes.
7	MR. QUIN: Thank you.
8	(Whereupon, at 2:36 p.m. a recess
9	until 3:04 p.m.)
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well.
11	We appreciate your patience. It was a
12	productive but fast Executive Session. I
13	think we're prepared, if I understand my
14	Board's direction, to go right into a
15	deliberation at this time, unless there are
16	any clarification questions that the Board
17	might have for the applicant.
18	Very well. Not noting those, I
19	think it would be most expeditious to move
20	ahead under a motion then, take full
21	deliberation on the elements of the motion and
22	proceed in that fashion.

1	That being said, I would move
2	approval of Application 17544 of the National
3	Child Research Center for the special
4	exception for the child development center and
5	we'll get into the specifics of the children
6	and staff, although it is listed in the
7	announcements of 185 children maximum, 44
8	staff, under section 205 for the premises of
9	3209 Highland Place, N.W.
10	I will be discussing conditions as
11	part of that motion, but would ask for a
12	second for discussion.
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you
15	very much. Let me address first the variance
16	relief that was also submitted in terms of the
17	section 21 and it was, as listed in the
18	applicant's submission, a precautionary, not
19	their words, but precautionary address of the
20	variance.
21	Frankly, it's my view, and I will
22	take comments from other Members, that there

is no parking relief required and, therefore,
a variance would not be needed. It is obvious
in anticipation of potential change of the
regulations, but those regulations are not
standing and so to date, there would not be a
requirement for parking to be granted relief
from. And I believe the specific section is
2100.5, but I will verify that as we go ahead
and then take comments on that from the Board,
if there are any.
VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would agree.
CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Very well.
If there's no further comments on that, let's
move directly into it. Of course, looking at
this, we looked at the entire section of 205.
As we began this, of course, we indicated that
it's interesting that child development
centers under section 205 are set up as if one
is always establishing them.
I think in the past procedure,
that is the past 40 years of procedure or

more, there may at times be time limits put

on, oftentimes of new, old. That is not the case here. However, we still have the same regulations and requirements to walk through.

I will summarize many of them, because I don't think most are pertinent in terms of finding compliance with the special exception. And I will also say that the Office of Planning's report has done an excellent job in addressing all of those elements in their analysis and review.

Certainly, the center has shown that it's capable of meeting applicable codes and licensing. The issue of 205.3, which goes to the location and design to create no objectionable traffic conditions and no unsafe conditions for picking up and dropping off children.

What we have in the record of this special exception application is a substantial document that shows the communication between the school and the surrounding residents, and in that document it addresses certain

2.

conditions and the address of those conditions and how they might be mitigated or how they might be substantively dealt with. That to me is a substantial finding of fact that certain elements have been addressed.

In terms of evidence of potential adverse impact, frankly, it doesn't develop out. Specifics don't develop out for us to condition outside of that which is being treated within the transportation management procedures.

And what does this all mean? It means that I don't believe -- I think -- I believe that we have, in fact, had the base information addressed factually and evidentiary in this case and that a remedy of condition has been provided and that remedy is, as I would say, well-conditioned for our order in the applicant's Attachment H, which addresses the Traffic Management Plan and the traffic management procedures.

I will get into the specific

2.

language of that in a bit as we look at actual conditions, but the importance of it is, one, there is flexibility to address conditions that change of which they will. The other is that it is provided for all that need to come into compliance with it. The other is that it is evaluated and it is, in fact, executed or there is some sort of condition of which, a punitive condition, if it isn't complied with for the individual and for the school. I think that is clearly addressed.

I also note that DDOT in their indicated report also that the already implemented Traffic Management Plan procedures have rendered great success they found that there was no objection to going their support of this forward presented.

205.4 deals with the parking, which we have addressed not only in the not need for the variance, but also under 2100.5, that the school has never provided on-site

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

parking and under our regulations is not required to do so.

205.5 has the Board address whether there is outdoor play space any provided would be located and designed, there would be no objectionable impacts. Again, the Office of Planning does a good assessment of this. I think that in this case presentation and in some -- well, in this case presentation we see that there has been no evidence that has arisen that would lend itself to testimony supporting the location of the outside play area as potentially creating adverse impacts.

In the settlement agreement, there is address of not having any amplified equipment used in the outside area and I think that would be the only element that may potentially have some sort of adverse impact and it is obviously addressed in an outside agreement and, therefore, is enforceable on that measure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In terms of 205.6, "The required treatment and way of design, screening, plantings, parkways, areas, signs or other requirements shall deem necessary to protect the adjacent nearby properties." Again, I don't think the record has any evidence or elements that speak to how the design might, in fact, have an adverse impact to the nearby or adjacent properties and, therefore, I don't think I am recommending any other design changes.

Outside of Mr. Etherly moving some of the round windows on the addition that we would -- there would be no reason for the Board to get into the design elements of that. Of course, that is a little joke, so we won't be changing any of the round windows, Mr. Etherly. Very well.

In fact, speaking to that, I would go to -- of course, I have familiarity with the last application and the drawings and I recall thinking and I recall numerous

2.

comments, but I'll talk about just my own view
of that and the current drawings that are
submitted here.

One, I think it's a phenomenal job

One, I think it's a phenomenal job in two respects. First, in the presentation themselves, their beautiful drawings that represent what, in fact, is being proposed. But the second is I find it now speaks directly to the design as it is being proposed here. The connection, the symmetry, the massing in this current iteration I find is not only just compatible, but I think incredibly successful.

You know, really I thought that the illustration and one might say just the point of the design, if you had to point to one piece of the design that is emblematic of it all, is the treatment of the elevator override, which is articulated as a chimney, it absolutely disappears into a building.

I would imagine that once this is built, as they start ticking away the 20 years

of the settlement agreement, that in those years it will age and one will lose thought of any sort of time period of which each part was done. Meaning, it seems to flow incredibly well together and may be mistaken within a matter of course as original pieces of the original existing building.

Moving on to any off-site play area, we have no evidence that there is being proposed off-site play area, so that would not be of import to address. And lastly, we also don't have any evidence in the record at 206.8 that would discuss the fact that there might be another child development center within a certain radius of which the Board could, in fact, approve. However, it would obviously be something that we would have needed to have addressed.

So going to conditions, I think that four conditions have in we my deliberation on this. The first Ι have indicated. Οf course, I won't list that

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

first, but first would be the adoption of the language that is being proposed by the applicant in the Traffic Management Plan.

I'll go into that a little bit further.

But the first actual condition I would have from actually utilizing Office of Planning's conditions and that would be the maximum number of children shall not exceed 185 and shall be phased in as shown on Attachment 3. Although, I don't necessarily need -- actually, I'm not asking to have the phased in as part of that condition in the BZA order.

The second condition would be the max number of teachers and support shall not exceed 44. Office of Planning has also referenced Attachment 3, which is important for their analysis and was important for their report. I would include the hours of days of operation, 8:00 to 5:00, Monday through Friday.

I, frankly, don't feel strongly

2.

4	
1	either way on that. However, as it is an
2	agreed upon condition and is a standing
3	operational procedure, I don't see any
4	conflict arising by having it or not having
5	it.
б	And then the where did they go?
7	One, two, three, so the fourth would be the
8	Transportation Management Plan. And that
9	would be the conditions. I'll open it up to
10	others for conversation.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: First of all,
12	with respect to our approval, would we be
13	saying that this would be for the continued
14	use as a child development center as opposed
15	to the language that was in the application,
16	which was for an addition to? Just to clarify
17	that point?
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Oh, yes.
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: That we
20	started out with.
21	GUATADADAGON GATERIGO BOSO MAI
	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Exactly.

with your conditions. I don't think we need to say it shall be phased in, because that is required by the settlement agreement. And depending on what we do with the term, even if we had no term, if we go beyond 20 years, it will have already been phased in, so we need a number that gives predictability and stability to this.

It is not needed, right, because the settlement agreement requires it. The TMP, I think, was a key part of the evidence in this case. We didn't have a lot of evidence in this case, but that was the concern about the traffic on the street and the congestion or the possibility and the mitigation against that.

And we heard that this is a very effective TMP that they have in place, so I think that we do need to put in our conditions, which may go beyond the 20 year term of the settlement agreement, assurance that there is a good TMP procedure.

2.

1	The parties have done a lot of
2	work on language already, both in their
3	proposed conditions and in the settlement
4	agreement, so I think that we can look to that
5	for the language. I think, in particular, the
6	applicant's No. 3 of their proposed conditions
7	sets forth three key elements, which they
8	think should be in a BZA order and I think
9	that those three, A, B, C, are good elements
10	that we should include.
11	I think what we want to do is
12	ensure that there is a good TMP in place, but
13	also ensure that there is flexibility for the
14	community and the school to make changes
15	depending on how it works out and changed
16	circumstances.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Good.
18	Anything else? Anything else?
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I guess it
20	doesn't seem like a lot here, but I think that
21	that is because there don't seem to be adverse

conditions to mitigate, because the parties

have done such an excellent job in formulating their own agreement to work under and in addressing any adverse conditions that may have existed before that they might anticipate.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Okay. Good enough. Any other comments? Any other questions, deliberations? Let me address the ANC's report, or course, that was provided to It's Exhibit 27, if I recall correctly, us. and they are in support of the application and they have lot of whereases in а resolution, which we have all reviewed.

In the one instance, Ms. Miller, you actually brought it up, they now therefore resolved four things and I just want address number three, which says that the ANC-3C supports the zoning order condition that requires the applicant to enter into negotiated contract management agreement or, rather, a construction management agreement that minimizes the adverse impact of

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

construction on neighbors and provides for specific and rapid enforcement mechanisms to protect the neighbors in the event of a breach.

Unless I missed some huge part of today, I don't believe the Board has started to even begin to craft something of that nature. However, in looking at the settlement agreement, which is the test of the applicant, I tend to agree that there is a substantial amount of work that has gone on to get to that level and I think it is productive in some respects.

But to illustrate the settlement agreement, as I looked at it, it's fairly comprehensive in looking at a construction management agreement as one of its elements, but it also looks at the number of students and the faculty. It talks about the events, the type of events, the number of events and when they happen and how they happen, the traffic safety and traffic management

2.

procedures.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Tt. talks about how those can change and adapt also. Ιt talks creating and operations of Standing а Standing Committee. That Committee is obviously reviewing all of these elements over the time period of which it has established or which it does establish the duration in the settlement agreement.

It also addresses the proceedings before this Board and other Government the positions agencies and that each participant stakeholder would hold in that. It talks about enforcement mechanisms. talks about other proceedings and other legal entities, meaning the Court of Appeals and the appeal process and the disposition of that depending on an outcome of this Board, very comprehensive.

And I think it's appropriate to fully understand that and I think we do in moving forward on our own order and focusing

only and directly and specifically on the which Regulations Zoning οf we. have jurisdiction. It's appropriate to move ahead as we have and look at this as a special 205. exception under We have four now conditions. I would like to have other conversations, deliberation on that or additional conditions.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I just want to say with respect to the traffic management procedures condition that adopts A, B and C of the applicant's proposed condition, I think I would like to add a preparatory just sentence similar to theirs, but just saying that NCRC shall maintain a Traffic Management Plan and then have the others follow, if that's okay with my Board Members.

And the other thing I wanted to address, I don't know if we did adequately enough, but the question about a term. I think that there wasn't evidence enough that a 20 year term would actually serve a purpose

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in vindicating any adverse impacts, and that actually the neighbors were looking for stability and predictability and that actually not having a term gives that more than having a term, that these are permanent enrollment figures and permanent faculty figures.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right, two things on that I absolutely support. First of all, as is evident in this proceeding and this hearing, the current condition is not created in the adverse impact based on traffic dropoffs and pick-ups with the implementation of procedures that the NCRC has implemented. So we have no evidence in the record that is -- has created.

We obviously have presented to us, and I am relying on it, that the flexibility of the Traffic Management Plan over the long haul will continue to not have as the enrollment actually increases slightly to 185 from its present condition.

And to address your point of the

2.

1	language involved, well, actually going to the
2	address of the term, there is absolutely
3	nothing that is persuading me to put a term
4	limit on this, because if any of those
5	existing conditions or proposed conditions,
6	which have had no evidence of having adverse
7	impact, were to change, then they would have
8	to come back to revise the special exception
9	of which they are operating under. So it
10	wouldn't matter if it's a year, if it's five
11	years, if it's 50.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Also, you
13	know, we were saying that we often put on a
14	term when something is new and experimental
15	and see if it's really going to work or not
16	work. And we heard testimony that there has
17	been a TMP in place for three years or so and
18	that it has been working well. We didn't have
19	any evidence of adverse impacts at all during
20	that period.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Right.

Good. Now, in terms of -- I'm going to the

terminology of the condition that we have had in terms of the Traffic Management Plan.

Actually, we have utilized I think successfully the exact words of that that we can input into it and I understand your intent, and that intent is to say there is a Traffic Management Plan in place and it will be of the three conditions below, distributed, enforced and monitored.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: So I don't think we'll have any difficulty in doing that. Okay. So it may even just say that NCRC will maintain a Traffic Management Plan and NCRC will distribute traffic management procedures, etcetera.

Okay. Anything else? Any other elements, comments, questions? Okay. If there is nothing further then, we do have a motion before us. It has been conditioned, seconded and conditioned. I would ask for all those in favor to signify by saying aye.

2.

1 ALL: Aye. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: And opposed? 3 And abstaining? Very well. Mr. Moy, if you 4 would have the pleasure of recording that 5 vote. MS. Chairman, the 6 BAILEY: Mr. 7 vote is recorded as 5-0-0 to grant the special 8 exception with conditions with the four conditions identified, and noting that the 9 Board found that it was not necessary for the 10 11 parking relief and, therefore, that was not 12 considered or granted. 13 Mr. Griffis made the motion, Mrs. Miller second. Mr. Mann, Mr. Etherly and Mr. 14 15 Hood agree, are in agreement. And are we 16 doing a summary order, Mr. Chairman? 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Yes, unless 18 there is any Board opposition to waiving our 19 rules and regulations, we would issue 20 summary order on this, unless the applicant had any objections to that. Not noting any 21

objection from the applicant, we would issue

1	a summary order.
2	MS. BAILEY: Thank you, sir.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Thank you
4	very much. Very well. Thank you all very
5	much. Have a great afternoon. Is there any
6	other business for the Board?
7	MS. BAILEY: Not today, sir.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFIS: Ah, but
9	there is. Very well. We'll wish you all a
10	very good afternoon, but the Board does have
11	some executive business to do, so we can
12	dispense with our hearing and close the
13	afternoon hearing of the 9 th of January 2007.
14	(Whereupon, the Public Hearing was
15	concluded at 3:28 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	